

# Pursuing Fast, Affordable Hearing Loss Screening

Eliot Shearer at the University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine is developing a method to simultaneously screen all 66 known deafness genes using the MiSeq® system.

## Introduction

Eliot Shearer is a National Institute on Deafness and Communication Disorders (NIDCD) predoctoral research fellow in the M.D./Ph.D. program at the University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine. He is a member of the Molecular Otolaryngology and Renal Research Labs (MORL) directed by Richard Smith, M.D., a prominent researcher in the field of hearing loss genetics. The MORL is widely recognized for discovering nearly 25% of all known deafness genes and offering genetic testing for deafness for more than a decade. The overall goal of the lab is to uncover genetic causes of deafness and make screening for genetic hearing loss comprehensive, fast, and affordable to everyone.

**Q:** *How is deafness caused and determined?*

**Eliot Shearer (ES):** Nonsyndromic hearing loss is the most common sensory deficit, affecting up to 1 in 500 newborns. Most hearing loss in the United States develops as a result of genetic factors that damage the inner ear. There are more than 60 known genes that can cause deafness and typical screening methods are performed on a gene-by-gene basis. At the MORL, we have used Sanger sequencing for more than 10 years for genetic testing. Sanger sequencing, which was invented in 1977, is the gold standard for genetic testing, but due to the low efficiency of this method, we can only offer tests for 12 of the known deafness genes. We receive DNA samples from people all over the world who have deafness. Unfortunately, using currently available methods, we can't actually provide a genetic diagnosis for many of these families.

**Q:** *How will the MiSeq system help you study deafness?*

**ES:** The ultimate goal is to change the way health care is provided to those who are affected by hearing loss. Our objective is to make genetic testing the first test that's ordered after it is determined that a patient has hearing loss. Using the Illumina MiSeq system paired with our targeted capture OtoSCOPE platform, we will be able to screen all known 66 genes for deafness at the same time, making testing faster, less expensive, and more accessible.

“Our goal is to run all 66 genes on the MiSeq system with an overall turnaround time of two to three months, compared to running one gene in the same amount of time with Sanger sequencing.”



As a predoctoral research fellow in the M.D./Ph.D. program at the University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Eliot Shearer performs both clinical testing and research in the Molecular Otolaryngology and Renal Research Labs.

**Q:** *How do you design a test to detect all 66 genes?*

**ES:** OtoSCOPE uses a method called targeted sequence capture to isolate only the exons of the genes that are known to cause hearing loss. We take a patient's DNA sample, pull out just the DNA that is responsible for deafness, and sequence it. During bioinformatics analysis, we clean up the sequencing reads, align them to the human genome, and look at the 66 genes we're interested in. From there we compare test results to our database of known hearing loss variants to identify any known deafness mutations within the test genes.

**Q:** *What do you like about the MiSeq workflow?*

**ES:** There are two primary reasons that we like the MiSeq system. The first is that we can run the same libraries we've run on the HiSeq system on the MiSeq. We don't have to do any extra work and we don't have to change our workflow. Because we have been using Illumina sequencing for more than two years now, we are used to the sequencing workflow and the mechanics of the sequencing technology used in the MiSeq system. Secondly, the Illumina MiSeq is accessible to a lab of our size, thereby allowing us to offer OtoSCOPE, which is the vital link between the patient and their genetic diagnosis.

**Q:** *How does the quality of the data from the MiSeq system compare to what you are used to?*

**ES:** Overall the quality looks very good. We are used to looking at Illumina data, so it's easy for us to run the MiSeq data in our established bioinformatics workflows. We are happy with the output. So far it looks great. It's on a smaller scale than the HiSeq system, but the quality is as good or better.

