



As the technology has evolved, so has our ability to ask new research questions. Very early on, we jumped onto the NGS bandwagon. A nice example is our study of *de novo* mutations, where you have to sequence billions of bases. That's not practical to do with anything other than NGS.

**Q: What type of sequencing studies are you performing today?**

**GR:** We're performing trio studies of —children with disease and their parents to look for *de novo* mutations involved in predisposition to certain diseases. We're also using sequencing to study more refined phenotypes in schizophrenia and autism.

We're performing a lot of exome sequencing of small families where there's a high prevalence of bipolar disorder, restless leg syndrome, or ALS. In those cases, there are too few samples to adequately perform linkage analyses. Exome sequencing gives us a better chance to identify predisposition variants for these diseases.

**Q: How do you collaborate with researchers within and outside of McGill University?**

**GR:** We've created a biobank of about 70,000 unique DNA samples collected over the past few decades from multiple sources, but mostly through collaborations. The biobank offers easy access to any researcher who wants to use it, enabling me to collaborate broadly with different groups all over the world.

I'm working with a large group in France for schizophrenia studies, and with groups in France, Portugal, England, and the U.S. on some ALS studies. I'm collaborating with colleagues at McGill on clinical research studies using the variants we've discovered to identify ALS, schizophrenia, and autism cases.

“Illumina systems have completely transformed our research. What we're doing now we couldn't even imagine five years ago.”

**Q: Where is the sequencing of these samples performed?**

**GR:** The bulk of our sequencing is performed at the Genome Quebec and McGill Genome Center in Montreal, which has a MiSeq® and 16 HiSeq® Systems. I've also used the Illumina Genome Network (IGN) service for some of our genome sequencing.

Both groups are easy to work with. We decide what we want sequenced and the questions we want to answer. We send our samples to the genome center or IGN. They perform the sequencing, give us back the data, and we deal with the data analysis. In our lab, we have quite a bit of sequence—about

2,700 exomes sequenced and about 500–600 genomes. Through NGS, we've already identified quite a few different disease genes in essential tremor, ALS, and in a number of rare Mendelian disorders.

“Through NGS, we've already identified quite a few different disease genes in essential tremor, ALS, and in a number of rare Mendelian disorders.”

**Q: Has all of your sequencing been performed using Illumina technologies?**

**GR:** At the beginning, we used both ABI (Applied Biosystems) and Illumina sequencing. It turned out that the Illumina sequence data was easier to interpret and worked better for us. I'd say 98% of everything we've sequenced to date is with Illumina sequencing systems and we've had samples sequenced on all the different generations of Illumina systems. Illumina has really been the workhorse – the major source of sequencing for our lab.

Illumina systems have completely transformed our research. What we're doing now we couldn't even imagine five years ago. The ability to be able to quickly sequence massive amounts of DNA has changed the kind of questions we can ask.

**Q: What is superior about Illumina sequencing technology?**

**GR:** When you get an exome or a genome sequenced, you have basically billions of fragments. The quality of the sequence we obtain from Illumina systems is better, with fewer errors and artifacts, decreasing the noise in analysis. You also need efficient, high-quality bioinformatic tools to extract the information that you need. There are a wide range of bioinformatics tools available to analyze Illumina sequencing data. They are easy to use and very efficient.

**Q: What kinds of data sets do you create for your research?**

**GR:** We refine the biobank data into two data sets. One is more clinically oriented and is refined into phenotypes and subphenotypes. The other is a research data set refined into different kinds of mutations, such as copy number (CNV), missense, intronic, and noncoding regulatory variants. The art is further refining the two data sets, putting them together, and seeing how we can derive new information from them.

**Q: What sequencing applications do you use the most?**

**GR:** It entirely depends on what we think is the genetic architecture of the entity we're looking at. We use different methods to address different questions. For example, there's one project where we looked at the environmental effects



