

We accidentally discovered that the protocols used for small RNA sequencing are biased towards certain piRNA. We were able to invent a new method of sequencing small RNAs. The method works well for piRNAs and other small RNAs, including miRNAs.

Q: What other scientific questions are you trying to answer?

RS: There are 2 other biological subsystems that we are studying and trying to understand in greater depth. One of them is the genetics of mtDNA. Mitochondria are the engines of our cells, converting food into energy to fuel our muscles, brain, and other tissues. Sequencing mtDNA is difficult. In the past, we sequenced the whole genome and, in the process, picked up some mtDNA pieces. So while the role of mitochondria in disease might be widespread, we have not been able to decipher it because of our inability to sequence mtDNA and the genome separately.

The other subsystem we are studying is TCRs, which are part of our adaptive immune system. Each person's TCR repertoire possesses unique elements that are triggered by its response to viral and bacterial infections over their lifetime. It takes over when our immune system mistakes our own cells for a foreign entity and starts attacking them. TCRs also play a role in vaccine responses and how quickly the body clears an infection. We are developing new sequencing approaches to track these responses.

“We find that there is a continual loop where the computational results of our RNA and DNA sequencing efforts inform our wet-bench practices and vice versa. This flow of information has enabled us to develop innovative and powerful approaches, including new laboratory techniques, and computational algorithms and tools.”

Q: Can you talk about the Mseek technique that your team developed?

RS: The Mseek technique solved the mtDNA sequencing problem. In the past, when we sequenced cellular DNA, only a small amount of it was mtDNA and our resolution was poor. That is not surprising. The nuclear genome consists of 6 billion bases, while the mitochondrial genome is only 17,000 bases. Even though there are 1,000 mtDNA for every nuclear genome, total mtDNA adds up to only 17–20 million bases. So less than 1% of total DNA is mtDNA.

Anitha Jayaprakash, a student in the lab, invented Mseek, a method of isolating and sequencing just the mitochondrial DNA. The technique takes advantage of the fact that the mitochondrial genome is circular and the nuclear genome is linear. Mseek eliminates the linear nuclear

DNA through an enzymatic process, leaving behind the circular mtDNA. From that point on, it is a simple process to sequence the mtDNA at very high resolution, about 1000x coverage.

Using this unique method, we screened large numbers of human cell lines and discovered the mtDNA diversity is widespread and stable. Since then, we have developed an entire program focused on understanding how the mitochondrial genome affects human development and metabolic disorders.

Now there is kind of a “gold rush” in this field because we can actually monitor and track mitochondria in different tissues using this technique and understand what is going on in various disorders.

“The biggest advantages of the NextSeq 500 System are its throughput, optimal price point, and fast turnaround time. The installation was easy and we were able to start running projects on it immediately.”

Q: Can you talk about the TCR technique that you are developing?

RS: We have developed a method of sequencing to monitor the TCR repertoire in people over time, potentially using it as a biomarker for disease. The key point is the use of universal primers for unbiased sampling of the repertoire, avoiding the biases that plague other approaches that are mostly based on PCR amplification. Using the technique we could learn how people react to different diseases or whether a vaccination is working. There are also potential implications for cancer immunogenomics.

Q: On which diseases is your research focusing?

RS: I am in the Oncological Sciences department, so clearly cancer is a focus. Within mtDNA, we are looking at what goes on in tumors and if there is an evolution of the mitochondrial genome as the tumor progresses. I also conduct research in autoimmune disease and collaborate with Dr. Terry Davies, a famous researcher of Graves' disease, an autoimmune thyroid disorder. We are trying to understand the role of the TCR repertoire in this disorder.

Q: Does your research support personalized medicine approaches to fighting disease?

RS: That is the goal, particularly of our TCR research. The idea is to be able to monitor disease through noninvasive techniques. Ultimately, we would like to be able to take a small blood sample and use our approach to figure out what the immune system is doing. It would enable us to tailor therapies to each person.

Q: How important are the tools that you use to the success of your research?

RS: The technologies and tools we use are extremely important. Sequencing is our main technique because we use it to infer things from tissue and fluid samples. Access to technology and a quick

data turnaround are important for us because we develop techniques to address specific areas. For example, if I want to look at the TCR repertoire, I have to develop a method to monitor it in the blood. We spend a lot of time going back and forth—taking a sample, using a method to prepare and sequence it, looking at the sequencing data, and tweaking the method until we are sure that everything is working. Then we apply it to large sets of samples.

Q: How long have you been using the Illumina systems?

RS: I have been using Illumina systems since the Genome Analyzer II System was introduced in 2006. In this lab, we have used almost every Illumina sequencing system, including the MiSeq®, HiSeq®, and NextSeq Systems.

Q: How do the Illumina systems compare with other sequencing systems?

RS: The systems are good in terms of ease of use. The responsiveness of the Illumina technical support team has been important for us. We might be one of the heaviest users of this service because we are always trying pushing the boundaries of the sequencer, trying new things, wanting to customize this or that. Illumina Tech Support always tries to address our questions and help us find ways to perform what we are trying to do. That is a significant plus for Illumina, and as a customer I appreciate the added dedication.

“Having our own NextSeq 500 System has let us generate data and get feedback in the lab quickly. It has eliminated our sequencing bottleneck.”

Q: Why did you choose the NextSeq 500 System for your current study?

RS: The biggest advantages of the NextSeq System are its throughput, optimal price point, and fast turnaround time. The installation was easy and we were able to start running projects on it immediately.

The NextSeq System enables us to have real-time monitoring of our experiments so we can go back and forth between the lab, analysis, and sample preparation. We can quickly solve problems in the lab before we get too far. Before, we had to wait a few weeks for data and would lose track of what was going on in the lab. After 3 months working with the NextSeq 500 System, we have significantly shortened our debug cycle for the techniques we are developing.

Q: What do you think of its performance and data quality?

RS: Our yields exceeded the specified output significantly and the data quality has been comparable to what we used to get from the HiSeq System.

Before installing the NextSeq System, we were using a HiSeq System at a core lab and at various companies, or a MiSeq System. Having our own NextSeq 500 System has let us generate data and get feedback in the lab quickly. It has eliminated our sequencing

bottleneck. Previously we would wait up to 8 weeks for data; now we get results back in a day. Data turnaround is not really a consideration in planning experiments anymore. Our work volume has increased, enabling us to pursue additional research directions.

Q: How many different sequencing applications are you performing with it?

RS: We perform mtDNA sequencing and the TCR repertoire analysis using our proprietary techniques. We are also performing mRNA-Seq, ChIP-Seq, whole-exome sequencing, amplicon sequencing, and some bacterial genome sequencing.

“Previously we would wait up to 8 weeks for data; now we get results back in a day. Data turnaround is not really a consideration in planning experiments anymore.”

Q: Do you use any Illumina library prep kits?

RS: For mRNA-Seq, we use the TruSeq® Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit.

Q: What types of bioinformatics tools have you developed?

RS: We have developed all the bioinformatics tools we use in-house. We have several tools, resources, databases, and websites that allow others to analyze the data on our website. For example, Kismeth is a web-based tool for bisulfite sequencing analysis that enables researchers to analyze methylation states in plants and humans. Our mRNA-splicing resource, SpliceRack, is widely used in the field as are our tools for piRNA analyses.

Q: What are the next steps in your research?

RS: We will apply our tools to various problems. For example, we will be using the Mseek protocol to study mitochondrial genetics in a twins study, comparing mitochondrial genomes and seeing how they differ. Since twins come from the same mother, they should technically have identical mitochondrial genomes. We are testing that theory. We also want to compare the TCR repertoire in twins and see how they diverge over time.

References:

- Jayaprakash AD, Jabado O, Brown BD, and Sachidanandam R. Identification and remediation of biases in the activity of RNA ligases in small-RNA deep sequencing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011; 39(21):e141. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr693.
- Jayaprakash AD, Benson EK, Gone S, et al. Stable heteroplasmy at the single-cell level is facilitated by intercellular exchange of mtDNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2015; 43(4): 2177–2187.



