A Patient-Centric Methylation Pipeline
Methodology for detecting DNA methylation changes in microarray data.

Introduction

Methylation of DNA cytosine residues is a common epigenetic mark and is often found in the context of CpG dinucleotides. It is a crucial epigenetic modifier, implicated in regulating many cellular processes in normal and diseased cells. As a result, there is a growing interest in profiling DNA methylation across the genome to improve understanding of this epigenetic factor's impact.

This application note outlines the methodology implemented in the NextBio platform (Figure 1) for detecting changes in DNA methylation for data produced on the Illumina Infinium® methylation assays. The method analyzes data in a patient-centric context, i.e., data are obtained for an individual patient, rather than as a batch. Therefore, the underlying analysis must be expanded to handle this complexity. The analysis pipeline is designed to compare a patient disease sample to a normal reference sample. The reference is derived from the average of a group of individual normal samples collected from patients (when available), or generated from highly correlated NextBio curated data sets from the same tissue as the disease sample.

Current Methylation Standards

Growing interest in DNA methylation has led to the rapid development of new technologies. This is visible in the cytosine coverage of the Infinium platforms, which has increased from 1,500 positions per platform to 27,000. With the introduction of the HumanMethylation450 BeadChip platform, this coverage now extends to over 480,000 positions.
On older arrays, each gene was typically tiled with 1–3 probes, with specific focus on the gene promoter regions. This design assumed that adjacent CpG sites had similar methylation status, thereby permitting a one-probe-to-gene strategy. The identification of differentially methylated genes was limited to a single-probe analysis which was, consequently, used to imply changes in gene transcription.

However, recent studies exploring CpG methylation patterns have shown associations between gene body methylation and transcriptional expression. Further, there is evidence supporting the need to study relative change in methylation of a region from its own positional baseline signal. Thus, it is necessary to classify probe location and calculate differential methylation with respect to its own matched baseline. The Illumina HumanMethylation450 arrays have between 6 and 200 probes per gene and now investigate cytosine methylation across the entire gene region. This coverage allows researchers to interrogate the positional effects of methylation.

**NextBio Methylation Analysis**

The methylation analysis workflow processes data from the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation27 and HumanMethylation450 platforms. After accounting for platform-specific normalization steps, the workflow pools neighboring CpG probes, allowing a more robust calculation of methylation signal and differential methylation. This measurement is especially important within a patient-centric context, as each probe signal is not an aggregate across replicates. Because the impact of CpG methylation is dependent on probe locations, probes are pooled within the same locally concentrated CpG island.

**Data and Ingestion**

**HumanMethylation27**

Methylation data from the Infinium HumanMethylation27 arrays are reported as either beta (β) values or as individual methylated and unmethylated signals. The limma and methylumi packages in R are used to ingest data.

**HumanMethylation450**

Methylation data from the Infinium HumanMethylation450 array are reported as raw binary IDAT files generated by the iScan® system. These data are processed using the methylumi package in R. Individual methylated and unmethylated signals are extracted from this package, along with p-values calculated in comparison to negative control probes. Background correction is performed via a normal-exponential deconvolution approach using the methylumi package in R. This method was also implemented by the The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) consortium to generate their processed data.

**Normalization and Correction**

The HumanMethylation27 and HumanMethylation450 platforms differ in their detection of methylation signals from all CpG probes. Further, because of genomic variation in CpG methylation, commonly used normalization methods like quantile or Loess normalization can remove real biological signal. In order to account for these two factors, Illumina has implemented a two-pronged normalization pipeline for DNA methylation that is platform-dependent.

**Detection P-Value**

Each probe is assigned a detection p-value by Illumina GenomeStudio® software. This value reflects the probability that the probe signal was produced from noise and is calculated using the negative control probes from the platform. Probes with a detection p-value below a threshold are reported as methylation positive.
p-value higher than a platform-specific threshold are removed from downstream analysis. On average, probes have extremely low p-values:

- HumanMethylation27: p-value threshold > 0.01
- HumanMethylation450: p-value threshold > 0.05

Pooling Probes

Because the probe placement strategy on the HumanMethylation27 and HumanMethylation450 platforms are different, the pooling strategy is platform-dependent. Usually, one or two probes on the HumanMethylation27 platform map back to the promoter region of the transcript. With the HumanMethylation450 platform, multiple probes map back to different regions spanning the length of the transcript. The pooling strategy accounts for these differences. For the HumanMethylation450 arrays, the analysis is restricted to the promoter region for ease of interpretation.

HumanMethylation27

The impact of CpG methylation is location-dependent. Therefore, an average of all methylation probes across the gene would over-smooth the data. Alternatively, reporting a change in methylation from data produced by a single probe would bias data towards outliers. Methylation signals for probes within most CpG Islands tend to be highly correlated. Moreover, most islands tend to cover analogous gene regions, i.e., one island covers the promoter and 5′-untranslated region (UTR), while another is concentrated in the gene body. Thus, the methylation analysis pipeline calculates the average signal from probes within islands and uses this statistic for downstream analysis.

HumanMethylation450

In order to report a meaningful methylation signal for each transcript or gene represented on the HumanMethylation450 array, summary statistics are generated for methylation signals in the promoter region.
of the transcript. This is due to a common observation that the methylation signal in the promoter region is negatively correlated to mRNA expression of transcripts \(^1\). In total, five definitions for promoter regions were tested for robustness in five TCGA samples:

1. The Bioconductor probe annotation.
3. 1.5 kbp upstream and 0.5 kbp downstream of the transcription start site (TSS), based on Refseq transcripts annotation.
4. 1 kbp upstream and 1 kbp downstream of the TSS, based on Refseq transcripts annotation.
5. A modified annotation based on Refseq transcripts annotation (1 kbp upstream of the TSS and the minimum of 1 kbp, 3′-UTR, or the first exon after the TSS).

The \(\beta\) value distributions for all transcripts at promoter and non-promoter regions of five TCGA samples, based on the fifth definition for promoters, are plotted in Figure 3. The fifth definition yielded strong negative correlation with expression data and was adopted as the definition for promoter regions. Since methylation signals at nearby CpG sites are consistent \(^12,13\), a clear methylation signal is expected to show a peak of \(\beta\) values across multiple probes. Smaller peaks of differentially methylated regions are filtered out if they have less than three probes that show a \(\beta\) value difference of least 0.2 between the sample and the reference. For promoter regions with no observable peaks, the methylation signal is calculated as the median \(\beta\) value across all probes in the region.

**Selecting the Reference**

Since differential methylation for a patient is calculated against a reference, it is essential to identify and use a reference that generates the most meaningful results. Ideally, the reference sample used belongs to the patient in question and is provided by the same laboratory submitting the patient sample. When a set of normal samples is provided, the average of probe signals for a region across all samples is used as a reference normal. In cases where a reference sample is not provided, the closest matching reference from curated NextBio studies is used. In such cases, the methylation pipeline requires at least 95\% correlation between the percent methylation differential across all islands. Similarly, when no matched reference is present in the curated datasets, the methylation pipeline uses comparable tissue datasets to create a generic reference and requires a correlation of at least 87\%.

**CpG Island Differential**

Once a reference dataset is identified, the percent differential for all CpG islands between the patient and reference group is calculated. The methylation signal between island groups is tested for significance using a two-sample t test. This test assumes that the differentials are bounded.

Conclusions

A number of human diseases are associated with aberrant DNA methylation. In particular, hypermethylation of CpG islands located within promoter regions of tumor-suppressor genes has been established as a common mechanism for gene regulation in cancer. Therefore, high-throughput profiling of the DNA methylation status of CpG islands is crucial for advancing the understanding of this epigenetic marker.

Illumina has developed a robust pipeline to process DNA methylation studies in a patient-centric context. The workflow leverages the unprecedented amount of curated NextBio data to create customizable reference groups that facilitate high-quality analysis.
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